

Remarks

Applicant has amended claim 7 to correct a clear typographical error, where the claim recited dependency from claim 4 but should have instead recited dependency from claim 6 (as claim 6 first introduced the term "text representation" that is mentioned in claim 7).

Applicant also wishes to note an error in the formatting of the amendment of claim 24 as set forth in the response filed March 6, 2008. In that amendment, Applicant mistakenly underlined the existing material (i.e., the existing language of claim 24) and left without emphasis the added material (i.e., the language of claim 19 that was being written expressly into claim 24). Applicant should have instead underlined the added material and left without emphasis the existing material. The Examiner did not address this error, but Applicant is bringing the error to the attention of the Office.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing the claims.

Should the Examiner wish to discuss this case with the undersigned, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (312) 913-2141.

Respectfully submitted,

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**

Dated: May 20, 2008

By: /Lawrence H. Aaronson/
Lawrence H. Aaronson
Reg. No. 35,818